Tests of conservation laws in post-Newtonian gravity with binary pulsars

Xueli Miao (缪雪丽), Junjie Zhao, Lijing Shao, Norbert Wex, Michael Kramer, Bo-Qiang Ma.

August 29, 2020



Introduction

• Post-Newtonian (PN) limit: theory-independent.

At the 1PN order, the degree of violation in conservation laws is expressed via some specific parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters.

- Fully conservative theories: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$
- Semi-conservative theories: α₃ = ζ₁ = ζ₂ = ζ₃ = ζ₄ = 0. (Preferred frame, α₁, α₂, Shao & Wex (2012), Shao et al. (2013))
- Non-conservative theories: one or more of $\{\alpha_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4\}$ will be zero.

Will, C. M. 2018, Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics

Introduction



• Pulsar timing

$$t_{SSB} = t_{topo} + t_{corr} - \Delta D/f^{2} + \Delta_{R\odot} + \Delta_{S\odot} + \Delta_{E\odot} + \Delta_{RB} + \Delta_{SB} + \Delta_{EB} + \Delta_{AB}$$

Wex, N. 2014, arxiv:1402.5594 Lorimer, D. R.,& Kramer, M. 2005, Handbook of Pulsar Astronomy

For nonconservative gravity theories with the PPN parameter ζ_2 , there exists a self-acceleration for the center of mass of a binary system

$$a_{\rm cm}(t) = \frac{\zeta_2}{2} c T_{\odot} m_c \frac{q(q-1)}{(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{P_b}\right)^2 \frac{e}{(1-e^2)^{3/2}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rm p}(t) \,,$$

where $T_{\odot}=\,GM_{\odot}/\,c^3\simeq 4.9254909 \mu s.$



Will, C. M. 1992, ApJ, 205, 861

The relation between $\ddot{\nu}$ and ζ_2 is,

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \nu}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{c} \cos \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}t}$$
$$\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \nu}{\mathrm{d}t^3} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{c} \sin \omega \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 \,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \equiv -\frac{\zeta_2}{2} c T_{\odot} \left(\frac{2\pi}{P_b}\right)^2 \frac{q(q-1)}{(1+q)^2} \frac{e}{(1-e^2)^{3/2}} m_c \sin i \,,$$

The latest bound on ζ_2 was obtained by Will in 1992. He used the second time derivative of the spin period (\ddot{P}) of PSR B1913+16, and $\zeta_2 < 4 \times 10^{-5}$ at 95% confidence level (C.L.).($\ddot{P} = 4 \times 10^{-30} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$)

Will, C. M. 1992, ApJ, 205, 861 Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

Our results

• Individual bound on ζ_2

Pulsar		Method A	Method B
B2127+11C	ÿ	3.1×10^{-5}	2.9×10^{-5}
J1756-2251	Ÿ	1.7×10^{-4}	$1.8 imes 10^{-4}$
B1534+12	Ÿ	$4.5 imes 10^{-4}$	8.1×10^{-5}
B1913+16	\ddot{v}	1.2×10^{-3}	$8.4 imes 10^{-4}$
B1534+12	\ddot{v}	1.9×10^{-3}	1.9×10^{-3}
B1913+16	Ÿ	4.1×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-3}

Figure: The bounds on the absolute value of ζ_2 from individual binary pulsar systems at 95% C.L.. For PSR B1913+16, the $\ddot{P} = 5.6 \times 10^{-29} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$

• Combined bound on ζ_2

 $|\zeta_2| < 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$, with flat prior in $\log_{10} |\zeta_2|$ (95% C.L.). Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69



Figure: Cumulative posterior distributions with two different choices of priors. Left is using the Method A, and right is using the Method B for $\omega(t)$.

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

A full timing model with simulation data

Extending the Damour-Deruelle (DD) timing model we have

$$t = T + \Delta_{\mathrm{R}}(T) + \Delta_{\mathrm{E}}(T) + \Delta_{\mathrm{S}}(T) + \Delta_{\mathrm{A}}(T) + \Delta_{\zeta_{2}}(T).$$

The acceleration along the line of sight is

$$a_r(t) \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{cm}(t) = \mathcal{A}_2 \sin \left[\omega_0 + \dot{\omega} \left(t - T_0 \right) \right] \,.$$

The displacement z(t) along the line of sight is determined via the relation $\ddot{z} = a_r(t)$. So after integration, we obtain

$$z(t) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{\dot{\omega}^2} \left[\sin \omega_0 + \delta \omega \cos \omega_0 - \sin \left(\omega_0 + \delta \omega \right) \right],$$

where $\delta\omega\equiv\dot{\omega}(t-t_0)$. The extra time delay of arrival of pulses can be described by,

$$\Delta_{\zeta_2} = z(t)/c \simeq z(T)/c \,.$$

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

We apply Taylor expansion to Δ_{ζ_2} with respect to $T - t_0$,

$$\Delta_{\zeta_2}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2}{c} \sin \omega_0 (T - t_0)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{A_2 \dot{\omega}}{c} \cos \omega_0 (T - t_0)^3 - \frac{1}{24} \frac{A_2 \dot{\omega}^2}{c} \sin \omega_0 (T - t_0)^4 + \dots$$

For the extra time delay that is caused by an apparent change in the spin frequency. we have $-\Delta_{\zeta_2} = \delta \phi P = \delta \phi / \nu$, and

$$\delta\phi = \frac{1}{2}\delta\dot{\nu} (T - t_0)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\delta\ddot{\nu} (T - t_0)^3 + \frac{1}{24}\delta\ddot{\nu} (T - t_0)^4 + \dots$$

We get the following relations

$$-\frac{\mathcal{A}_{2}\dot{\omega}}{c}\cos\omega_{0} = \delta\ddot{\nu}/\nu$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{2}\dot{\omega}^{2}}{c}\sin\omega_{0} = \delta\ddot{\nu}/\nu$$

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

(日本本語を本書を本書を、書、のなら)



Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

æ

<ロ> <四> <ヨ> <ヨ>

	Method I		Method II.A		Method II.B	
	$\zeta_2^{\rm crit}$	$\ddot{\nu}(Hz^3)$	ζ_2^{crit}	$\ddot{v}(Hz^3)$	$\zeta_2^{\rm crit}$	$\overline{\nu}$ (Hz ⁴)
B1534+12	$1.2 imes 10^{-6}$	-4.9×10^{-31}	$1.2 imes 10^{-6}$	-4.9×10^{-31}	1.2×10^{-5}	-2.0×10^{-38}
J0737-3039A	_	-	4.4×10^{-5}	$2.3 imes 10^{-27}$	3.4×10^{-5}	-3.2×10^{-34}
J1756-2251	2.0×10^{-6}	$5.3 imes 10^{-29}$	1.1×10^{-5}	2.9×10^{-28}	$1.3 imes 10^{-4}$	3.1×10^{-36}
J1757-1854	1.0×10^{-4}	-2.8×10^{-26}	1.0×10^{-4}	-2.8×10^{-26}	1.7×10^{-3}	-1.7×10^{-32}
B2127+11C	$7.0 imes 10^{-6}$	8.5×10^{-29}	$8.0 imes 10^{-6}$	$9.7 imes 10^{-29}$	$7.0 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.5 imes 10^{-35}$
B1913+16	-	-	$1.0 imes 10^{-7}$	$2.1 imes 10^{-30}$	$8.0 imes 10^{-8}$	$9.5 imes 10^{-39}$

Figure: Critical values of ζ_2 for six binary pulsars with three different methods.

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69



Figure: Changes in the χ^2 as a function of ζ_2 for PSR B1913+16.

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

Summary

- We test conservation laws and give the parameter ζ_2 of PPN a new bound, $|\zeta_2| < 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$.
- For some binary pulsar systems, $\overleftrightarrow{\nu}$ can give a stronger limit than $\dddot{\nu}$ for $\zeta_2.$
- We provide an extended timing model to test the effect of ζ_2 .

Xueli Miao, et al. 2020, ApJ, 898, 69

Thank you!