FRB 171019: An event of binary neutron star merger?

Jinchen Jiang, Weiyang Wang, Rui Luo, Shuang Du, Xuelei Chen, Kejia Lee&Renxin Xu

Peking University & National Astronomical Observatories of China

jiangjinchen@pku.edu.cn

October 12, 2019

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

FRB 171019

October 12, 2019

3

1/14

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Overview

Observation

Model

- One-off burst
- Cooling of the proto-compact star
- Repeating bursts

Discussion 3

- Luminosity
- Detection time interval
- DM variation
- Event rate



2

Observation



Kumar P., et al., 2019 (arXiv:1908.10026)

No	Telescope	Obs Freq (MHz)	Obs Time (h)	${{ m TOA}^{ m d}}$ (MJD)	$_{(pc/cm^3)}^{DM}$	Fluence (Jy · ms)	Burst Width (ms)
$1^{\rm ab}$	ASKAP	1129.5-1465.5	986.6	58045.56061371	461 ± 1	219 ± 5	5.4 ± 0.3
$2^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{3}^{\mathrm{b}}}$	GBT	720-920	10.6	58319.356770492 58643.321088777	$456.1 \pm 0.4 \\ 457 \pm 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.37 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$4.0 \pm 0.3 \\ 5.2 \pm 0.8$
4^{c}	CHIME	400-800	17 ± 3	58700.38968	460.4 ± 0.2	$\gtrsim 7$	6 ± 2

^a Shannon, R. M., Macquart, J.-P., Bannister, K. W., et al. 2018, Nature, 562, 386

^b Kumar P., et al., 2019 (arXiv:1908.10026)

^c CHIME/FRB Collaboration 2019, The Astronomer's Telegram, 13013, 1

 $^{\rm d}$ Burst time of arrivals are referenced at different frequencies: 1464 MHz for ASKAP, 920 MHz for GBT, and 400 MHz for CHIME.

3

3/14

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Luminosity



Provided by Rui Luo

FRB 171019

October 12, 2019

3

4/14

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

A complex model



One-off burst: unipolar induction at the end of inspiral.Repeating bursts: Starquake and magnetic reconnection on the remnant compact star.

October 12, 2019

5/14

< 🗇 🕨

Model: One-off burst



NS-NS merger

 $\Omega = (GM(1+q)/a^3)^{0.5}$ $r_{\rm cap} \simeq a(\frac{a\Omega}{c})^{0.5}$ $\frac{B\Omega r_{\rm cap}^2}{2c}$ Φ $n_e = \mathcal{M} n_{\rm GJ}$ $\dot{E} \simeq \Phi \pi r_{\rm cap}^2 n_e e c$ $L \sim 10^{-3} \dot{E} \sim 1 \times 10^{45} \text{erg/s}$

(Here L is the luminosity in $10^7 - 10^{11}$ Hz.)

October 12, 2019

3 × 4 3 ×

- 2

Cooling of the proto-compact star



< 🗇 🕨

Chamel N., Haensel P., 2008, LRR, 11, 10



Neutrino/Photon cooling



Neutron Star $\sim 10^1 - 10^2$ years

October 12, 2019

Model: Repeating bursts

Electric field generated by magnetic connection

$$E_{\parallel} \simeq \frac{2\pi\sigma_s v_{\rm A}B}{c} = 2.1 \times 10^9 \sigma_{s,-3} v_{\rm A,8} B_{14} \, {\rm esu}$$

Faint FRBs



 $n_e \simeq \frac{E_{\parallel}}{4\pi e\lambda} = 3.5 \times 10^{12} \sigma_{s,-3} \Omega_{\rm osc,3} B_{14} \,\rm cm^{-3}$

Coherent radiation

Electric field generates plasma

$$N_e = \mu n_e (\frac{\gamma_e c}{\nu})^3 = 9.4 \times 10^{22} \mu_{-1} \eta_1 \sigma_{s,-3} \Omega_{\text{osc},3} B_{14} \gamma_{e,2}^3 \nu_9^{-3}$$
$$L_{\text{iso}} = 8.3 \times 10^{40} N_{\text{pat}} \mu_{-1} \eta_1 \sigma_{s,-3}^2 \Omega_{\text{osc},3}^2 B_{14}^2 \gamma_{e,2}^8 \nu_9^{-4} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

 $\langle \square \rangle$ $\langle \equiv \rangle$ $\langle \equiv$

Discussion

Luminosity

	Observation $L_{\rm iso}$	Model $L_{\rm iso}$
ASKAP (merger) burst	$6 \times 10^{43} \mathrm{erg/s}$	$\sim 10^{43} \mathrm{erg/s}$
GBT (starquake) bursts	$6 \times 10^{40} \mathrm{erg/s}$	10^{41} 10^{42} org /g
CHIME (starquake) burst	$> 2 \times 10^{42} \mathrm{erg/s}$	10 – 10 erg/s

- The luminosity difference between the ASKAP burst and the GBT bursts is consistent with the difference between the merger burst and starquake bursts in our model.
- The luminosity of the CHIME burst is larger than the GBT bursts. This can be explained by an increase of the patch number N_{pat} in the starquake model.
- A repeating burst as luminous as the ASKAP burst would rule out our model.

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

Discussion: Detection time interval



Kumar P., et al., 2019 (arXiv:1908.10026)

Telescope	Obs Time (h)	TOA (MJD)
ASKAP	986.6	58045.56061371
Parkes	12.4	_
GBT	10.6	58319.356770492 58643.321088777
CHIME	17 ± 3	58700.38968

Time interval limitation

- The 1st GBT burst is ~ 9 months after ASKAP burst.
- There could be undetected bursts in the 9 months.

 $t_{solid} \sim 3 \,\mathrm{months} \leq 9 \,\mathrm{months}$

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

October 12, 2019

Discussion: DM variation

Jet may change the DM?

$$N_{\rm e} \sim \frac{E_{\rm jet}}{\Gamma m_{\rm p} c^2} \sim \pi (r\theta)^2 l n_{\rm e} \qquad (r \sim c\Delta t)$$

DM =
$$\int_{r}^{r+l} n_e(r') dr' \sim \frac{E_{\text{jet}}}{\pi \Gamma m_p c^4 \Delta t^2 \theta^2}$$

= $7.8 \times 10^{-3} E_{\text{jet},50} \Gamma_2^{-1} \theta_{-1}^{-2} \Delta t_7^{-2} \text{ pc} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}.$

No significant change of DM.

				_
	Telescope	MJD	$DM (pc/cm^3)$	
_	ASKAP	58045.6	461 ± 1	_
	GBT	$58319.4 \\ 58643.3$	$456.1 \pm 0.4 \\ 457 \pm 1$	
-	CHIME	58700.4	460.4 ± 0.2	
-			4 🗆 🕨	1
Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)		FRB 1710	019	

Discussion: Event rate

The event rate of repeating sources should be smaller than the event rate of NS-NS merger.



Summary

- Observations show the first brighter burst of FRB 171019 followed by three weaker repeaters about one year later.
- We propose a unified frame
 - The first one-off FRB is generated at the moment before NS-NS or SS-SS merger through, e.g., unipolar inductor mechanism.
 - 2 The nascent remnant SS takes ~ 100 d to be solidified which accounts for the halcyon period between the one-off burst and the followed repeaters.
 - After the solidification, starquakes induced by the spin-down of the SS generate the subsequent three weaker repeating FRBs.
- If another bright burst just like the first one of FRB 171019 were to be detected, it would mean that our model should be ruled out.

Thanks!

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

Appendix: One-off burst

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= (GM(1+q)/a^3)^{0.5} \sim 3.7 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{rad\,s^{-1}} \\ r_{\mathrm{cap}} &\simeq a(\frac{a\Omega}{c})^{0.5} = 1.8 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{M}{1.4\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} a_{6.5}^{\frac{3}{4}} \,\mathrm{cm} \\ \Phi &\simeq \frac{B\Omega r_{\mathrm{cap}}^2}{2c} = 6.2 \times 10^{19} B_{12} \left(\frac{M}{1.4\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right) \,\mathrm{V}. \\ n_e &= \mathcal{M} n_{\mathrm{GJ}} = 4.1 \times 10^{16} \mathcal{M}_3 \left(\frac{M}{1.4\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_{6.5}^{-\frac{3}{2}} B_{12} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3} \\ \dot{E} &\simeq \Phi \pi r_{\mathrm{cap}}^2 n_e ec = 1.3 \times 10^{48} \mathcal{M}_3 B_{12}^2 \left(\frac{M}{1.4\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} a_{6.5}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \,\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}} \\ L &\sim 10^{-3} \dot{E} \sim 1 \times 10^{45} \mathrm{erg/s} \end{split}$$

Jiang et al. (PKU&NAOC)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >
 October 12, 2019

æ